1 2 3 4	John L. Cooper (State Bar No. 050324) jcooper@fbm.com Farella Braun + Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480		
5	Attorneys for Rule 706 Expert, James R. Kearl		
6	7 Ktorneys for Kule 700 Expert, James K. Kee	411	
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11			
12	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	Case No. C 10-3561 WHA	
13	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF JOHN L. COOPER IN	
14	VS.	SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL	
15	GOOGLE, INC.,	RESPONSE OF DR. JAMES R. KEARL, RULE 706 EXPERT, TO GOOGLE'S RESPONSE TO ORACLE'S MOTION IN	
16	Defendant.	LIMINE #6 REGARDING RULE 706 EXPERT, PROFESSOR JAMES KEARL	
17		Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor	
18		Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup	
19			
20			
21	I, John L. Cooper, declare as follows:		
22	1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and am a partner		
23	at the law firm of Farella Braun + Martel LLP, attorneys of record for Rule 706 Expert, Dr. James		
24	R. Kearl, in the above captioned action. I submit this declaration in support of Dr. Kearl's		
25	Administrative Motion To File Under Seal his Response to Google's Motion to Strike Portions of		
26	Expert Report and Testimony of Dr. James R. Kearl. I have knowledge of the facts stated herein		
27	and if called as witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.		
28		ed copies of Response of Dr. James R. Kearl, Rule	
	DECL. OF JOHN COOPER ISO ADMIN MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL RESPONSE TO GOOGLE	27152\5413861.1	

1	706 Expert, to Google's Response to Oracle's Motion in Limine #6 Regarding Rule 706 Expert	
2	Professor James Kearl, and Exhibits A through C to the Declaration of Dr. James R. Kearl in	
3	Support of Response of Dr. James R. Kearl, Rule 706 Expert, to Google's Response to Oracle's	
4	Motion in Limine #6 Regarding Rule 706 Expert, Professor James Kearl. These documents	
5	contain information designated by Oracle America, Inc. and Google, Inc. as "Confidential—	
6	Attorney's Eyes Only." Oracle and Google are in dispute regarding which portions of Dr. Kearl	
7	analysis should be kept confidential and which portions may be made public, so Dr. Kearl is	
8	requesting to file his entire response under seal. See, e.g., Dkt. 1599. Google and Oracle have	
9	been provided with a copy of the entire unredacted response to allow them to designate which	
10	portions should remain undisclosed.	
11	3. James R. Kearl takes no position on the redaction of the information designated as	
12	"Confidential—Attorney's Eyes Only."	
13		
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	
15	foregoing is true and correct.	
16	Executed this 13 th day of April 2016 at San Francisco, California.	
17		
18	/s/_ <i>John L. Cooper</i> John L. Cooper	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		